Appl. No. 10/662,644 Atty. Docket No. 8170XR Amdt. Dated June 5, 2006 Reply to Office Action of April 7, 2006 Customer No. 27752

## REMARKS AND INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Claims 1 - 20 are pending in the present application.

The examiner previously withdrew claims 18-20 from consideration.

Applicants canceled Claim 1-4 and 7-14 without prejudice.

Claims 15-17 where amended to depend from allowed Claim 5. Such claims are method claims wherein said a sub-group of the compound of formula I is recited. Applicants contend that support for such amendment is found, for example, at page 11, lines 12-25 of Applicants' specification wherein it is noted that the compositions of the present invention may be used to treat a substrate. Applicants contend that the compounds recited in amended claims 15-17 are found in original Claims 15-17 and on pages 12-13 of the specification.

Applicants note that according to MPEP § 2143.03 "If an independent claim is nonobvious under 35 U.S.C. 103, then any claim depending therefrom is nonobvious." See also, *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988). As Claim 15-17 are supported by the specification and depend directly or indirectly from allowed Claim 5, it follows that Claims 15-17 are allowable.

Appl. No. 10/662,644 Atty. Docket No. 8170XR Amdt. Dated June 5, 2006 Reply to Office Action of April 7, 2006 Customer No. 27752

## **CONCLUSION**

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of this application, entry of the amendments presented herein, and allowance of Claims 5, 6 and 15-17.

Respectfully submitted,

Haught et al.

By\_

James W. Mc Bride

Attorney for Applicant(s)
Registration No. 43,784

(513) 627-0079

June 5, 2006 Customer No. 27752